

# NUMBER OF POINTS OF FUNCTION FIELDS OVER FINITE FIELDS

BRUNO KAHN

## INTRODUCTION

Let  $k$  be a field; if  $\sim$  is an adequate equivalence relation on algebraic cycles, we denote by  $\text{Mot}_{\sim}(k)$  or simply  $\text{Mot}_{\sim}$  the category of motives modulo  $\sim$  with rational coefficients, and by  $\text{Mot}_{\sim}^{\text{eff}}$  its full subcategory consisting of effective motives [15]<sup>1</sup>. We use the convention that the functor  $X \mapsto h(X)$  from smooth projective  $k$ -varieties to  $\text{Mot}_{\sim}^{\text{eff}}$  is covariant. We shall in fact only consider the two extreme cases: rational equivalence (rat) and numerical equivalence (num).

Using the point of view of birational motives (developed jointly with Sujatha [9]), we give a proof almost without cohomology (see proof of Lemma 2) of a recent result of Esnault on the existence of rational points for smooth projective varieties with “trivial” Chow group of zero-cycles over a finite field [4]. We also prove that the number of rational points modulo  $q$  is a stable birational invariant of smooth projective varieties over  $\mathbf{F}_q$ : the idea of considering effective motives and their divisibility by the Lefschetz motive was anticipated by Serre [16]. This answers a question of Kollár; the 3-dimensional case had been dealt with by Lachaud and Perret earlier [13]. However, as was pointed out by Chambert-Loir, this birational invariance in fact follows from much earlier work of Ekedahl [3], who does not use any form of resolution of singularities!

## 1. BIRATIONAL MOTIVES

**Definition 1.** The category  $\text{Mot}_{\sim}^{\circ}$  is the Karoubian envelope (or idempotent completion) of the quotient of  $\text{Mot}_{\sim}^{\text{eff}}$  by the ideal  $J$  consisting of morphisms factoring through an object of the form  $M \otimes L$ , where  $L$  is the Lefschetz motive. This is a tensor additive category. If  $M \in \text{Mot}_{\sim}^{\text{eff}}$ , we denote by  $\bar{M}$  its image in  $\text{Mot}_{\sim}^{\circ}$ .

---

*Date:* October 28, 2002.

<sup>1</sup>With notation as in [15], an object of  $\text{Mot}_{\sim}$  is *effective* if it is isomorphic to an triple  $(X, p, n)$  with  $n \leq 0$ ; one may then find such a triple with  $n = 0$ .

**Lemma 1** ([9, Lemmas 5.3 and 5.4]). *Let  $X, Y$  be two smooth projective irreducible  $k$ -varieties. Then, in  $\text{Mot}_{\text{rat}}^0$ , we have*

$$\text{Hom}(\bar{h}(X), \bar{h}(Y)) = CH_0(Y_{k(X)}) \otimes \mathbf{Q}.$$

(Let us briefly recall the proof: for  $X, Y$  smooth projective, let  $I(X, Y)$  be the subgroup of  $CH^{\dim Y}(X \times Y) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$  formed of those correspondences which vanish on  $U \times Y$  for some dense open subset  $U$  of  $X$ . Then  $I$  is an ideal in the category of rational Chow correspondences: the proof [9, Lemma 5.3] is a slight generalisation of the argument in [5, Ex. 16.1.11]. It is even monoidal, and extends to a monoidal ideal  $I$  in  $\text{Mot}_{\text{rat}}^{\text{eff}}$ , which obviously contains  $J$ . Using de Jong's theorem [7, Th. 4.1] and Chow's moving lemma, one sees that  $I \otimes \mathbf{Q} = J \otimes \mathbf{Q}$  [9, Lemma 5.4]. In characteristic 0, one may remove the coefficients  $\mathbf{Q}$  by using Hironaka's resolution of singularities.)

**Example 1.** Let  $X$  be smooth and projective over  $k$ . Then  $\bar{h}(X) \simeq \mathbf{1}$  in  $\text{Mot}_{\text{rat}}^0$  if and only if  $CH_0(X_{k(X)}) \otimes \mathbf{Q} \simeq \mathbf{Q}$  (write  $h(X) \simeq \mathbf{1} \oplus h(X)_{\geq 1}$  in  $\text{Mot}_{\text{rat}}^{\text{eff}}$ ).

**Remark 1.** If  $K$  is the function field of a smooth projective variety  $X$ , we may define a motive  $\bar{h}(K) \in \text{Mot}_{\text{rat}}^0$  as follows. If  $Y$  is another smooth projective model of  $K$ , then [the closure of] the graph of a birational isomorphism from  $X$  to  $Y$  defines an isomorphism  $\bar{h}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar{h}(Y)$ . If there is a third model  $Z$ , then the system of these isomorphisms is transitive, so defining  $\bar{h}(K)$  as the direct limit of the  $\bar{h}(X)$  for this type of isomorphisms makes sense and is (canonically) isomorphic to any of the  $\bar{h}(X)$ . This construction is functorial for inclusions of fields. If  $\text{char } k = 0$ , it is even functorial for  $k$ -places by [9, Lemma 5.6], although we won't use this. (Extending it to arbitrary function fields in characteristic  $p$  would demand more work.)

Note that if  $K \subseteq L$ , then  $\bar{h}(K)$  is a direct summand of  $\bar{h}(L)$ : to see this, consider smooth projective models  $X, Y$  of  $K$  and  $L$ . Let  $f : U \rightarrow X$  be a corresponding dominant morphism, where  $U$  is an open subset of  $Y$ . By Noether's normalisation theorem, we may find an affine open subset  $V \subset U$  such that the restriction of  $f$  to  $V$  factors through  $X \times \mathbf{P}^n$ , where  $n = \dim Y - \dim X$ . Since  $\bar{h}(X \times \mathbf{P}^n) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar{h}(X)$ , we are reduced to the case where  $L/K$  is finite, and then it follows from a transfer argument. In particular,  $\bar{h}(X) \simeq \mathbf{1}$  if  $X$  is unirational, as expected in [16]. The converse is not true: an Enriques surface  $X$  verifies  $\bar{h}(X) \simeq \mathbf{1}$  by [2] (see example 1), but is not rational, hence not unirational over a field of characteristic 0 because  $\text{Pic}(X)$  contains a  $\mathbf{Z}/2$  summand (this counterexample was explained by Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène).

For  $\sim = \text{num}$ , the category  $\text{Mot}_{\sim}^{\circ}$  is abelian semi-simple [8]. From [1, Prop. 2.1.7], we therefore get:

**Proposition 1.** *a) The projection functor  $\pi : \text{Mot}_{\text{num}}^{\text{eff}} \rightarrow \text{Mot}_{\text{num}}^{\circ}$  is essentially surjective (i.e. taking the karoubian envelope is irrelevant in the definition of  $\text{Mot}_{\text{num}}^{\circ}$ ).*

*b)  $\pi$  has a section  $i$  which is also a left and right adjoint.*

*c) The category  $\text{Mot}_{\text{num}}^{\text{eff}}$  is the coproduct of  $\text{Mot}_{\text{num}}^{\text{eff}} \otimes L$  and  $i(\text{Mot}_{\text{num}}^{\circ})$ , i.e. any object of  $\text{Mot}_{\text{num}}^{\text{eff}}$  can be uniquely written as a direct sum of objects of these two subcategories.*

*d) The sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow K_0(\text{Mot}_{\text{num}}^{\text{eff}}) \xrightarrow{\cdot L} K_0(\text{Mot}_{\text{num}}^{\text{eff}}) \rightarrow K_0(\text{Mot}_{\text{num}}^{\circ}) \rightarrow 0$$

*is split exact.* □

(In d), the injectivity on the left corresponds to the fact that the functor  $- \otimes L$  is fully faithful.)

**Remark 2.** a) In  $\text{Mot}_{\text{num}}^{\circ}$ , we can extend the end of Remark 1 as follows: let  $K, L$  two function fields of smooth projective varieties such that  $K \hookrightarrow L(t_1, \dots, t_m)$  and  $L \hookrightarrow K(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  for some  $m, n$ . Then  $\bar{h}(K) \simeq \bar{h}(L)$ . To get such a result in  $\text{Mot}_{\text{rat}}^{\circ}$ , one would need to have enough information on the algebra  $\text{End}(\bar{h}(K))$ .

b) Proposition 1 b) shows via Remark 1 that to any function field  $K/k$  one may canonically associate an effective numerical motive  $h(K) \in \text{Mot}_{\text{num}}^{\text{eff}}$ , which is a direct summand of  $h(X)$  for any smooth projective model  $X$  of  $K$  (if any).

The following conjecture was suggested by Luca Barbieri-Viale:

**Conjecture 1** (cf. [17, Conj. 0.0.11]). *For any field  $k$ , the projection functor  $\text{Mot}_{\text{rat}}^{\text{eff}} \rightarrow \text{Mot}_{\text{rat}}^{\circ}$  has a right adjoint.*

## 2. NUMBER OF RATIONAL POINTS MODULO $q$

From now on,  $k = \mathbf{F}_q$  is a field with  $q$  elements. Then, for all  $n \geq 1$ , the assignment

$$X \mapsto |X(\mathbf{F}_{q^n})| = \deg(\Delta_X \cdot F_X^n)$$

for a smooth projective variety  $X$ , where  $\Delta_X$  is the class of the diagonal and  $F_X$  is the Frobenius endomorphism viewed as a correspondence, extends to a ring homomorphism

$$(1) \quad \#_n : K_0(\text{Mot}_{\text{num}}^{\text{eff}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$$

by the rule  $\#_n(X, p) = \deg({}^t p \cdot F_X^n)$  if  $p = p^2 \in \text{End}(h(X))$ , cf. [12, p. 80].

**Lemma 2.** *The homomorphisms  $\#_n$  take their values in  $\mathbf{Z}$ .*

**Proof.** It is enough to prove this for  $n = 1$ . More conceptually, we have  $\deg({}^t p \cdot F_X) = \text{Tr}(p \circ F_X)$  in the rigid tensor category  $\text{Mot}_{\text{rat}}$ . We may compute this trace after applying a Weil cohomology  $H$ , e.g.  $l$ -adic cohomology. (We then have to consider  $H(X)$  as a  $\mathbf{Z}/2$ -graded vector space and compute a super-trace.) Let  $H(X) = V \oplus W$ , with  $V = \text{Ker}(H(p) - 1)$  and  $W = \text{Ker}(H(p))$ . Since  $F_X$  is a central correspondence, it commutes with  $p$ , hence  $H(F_X)$  respects  $V$  and  $W$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(pF_X) &= \text{Tr}(H(pF_X)) = \text{Tr}(H((pF_X)|_V) + \text{Tr}(H((pF_X)|_W)) \\ &= \text{Tr}(H(F_X)|_V). \end{aligned}$$

Since the minimum polynomial of  $H(F_X)$  kills  $H((F_X)|_V)$ , the eigenvalues of the latter are algebraic integers. Hence  $\text{Tr}(pF_X)$  is an algebraic integer and therefore is in  $\mathbf{Z}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 1.** *The homomorphism (1) induces a ring homomorphism*

$$\overline{\#}_n : K_0(\text{Mot}_{\text{num}}^o) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/q^n.$$

This follows from Lemma 2 and Proposition 1 d) (note that  $\#_n(L) = q^n$ ).  $\square$

**Corollary 1** (Esnault [4]). *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety over  $\mathbf{F}_q$  such that  $CH_0(X_{\mathbf{F}_q(X)}) \otimes \mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{Q}$ . Then  $|X(\mathbf{F}_q)| \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ .*

**Proof.** By Example 1, one has  $\bar{h}(X) \simeq \mathbf{1}$  in  $\text{Mot}_{\text{rat}}^o$ , hence a fortiori in  $\text{Mot}_{\text{num}}^o$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2** (cf. [3, Th. 4], [13]). *The number of rational points modulo  $q$  is a stable birational invariant of smooth projective  $\mathbf{F}_q$ -varieties.*

Indeed, two stably birationally isomorphic varieties have isomorphic motives in  $\text{Mot}_{\text{rat}}^o$ .  $\square$

**Remarks 3.** a) Using Remark 2 a) we could strengthen Corollary 2 as follows: for two smooth projective varieties  $X, Y$ ,  $|X(\mathbf{F}_q)| \equiv |Y(\mathbf{F}_q)| \pmod{q}$  provided there exist  $m, n$  and dominant rational maps  $X \times \mathbf{P}^m \rightarrow Y$ ,  $Y \times \mathbf{P}^n \rightarrow X$ . However, this also follows from [3].

b) Using Remark 2 b) we may canonically associate to any function field  $K/\mathbf{F}_q$  a series of integers  $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$  such that, for all  $n$ ,  $\overline{\#}_n(\bar{h}(K)) = a_n \pmod{q^n}$  (see Remark 1 for the definition of  $\bar{h}(K)$ ). Naturally  $a_n$  is not necessarily positive in general. More conceptually, we may associate to  $K$  its *zeta function*, defined as the zeta function of the motive  $i(\bar{h}(K))$ .

c) Killing  $L^\kappa$  instead of  $L$  would yield congruences modulo  $q^\kappa$  rather than modulo  $q$ , cf. [4, §3]; compare also [18]. But one would lose the fact that function fields have motives as in the previous remarks.

d) Unfortunately the proof of Lemma 2 uses cohomology, hence the proof of Theorem 1 is not completely cohomology-free.

### 3. A CONJECTURAL CONVERSE

Note that the functions  $\#_n$  of (1) extend to ring homomorphisms  $K_0(\text{Mot}_{\text{num}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[1/q]$ , still denoted by  $\#_n$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Assume that the Tate conjecture holds. Let  $M \in K_0(\text{Mot}_{\text{num}})$  be such that  $\#_n(M) \in \mathbf{Z}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Then  $M \in K_0(\text{Mot}_{\text{num}}^{\text{eff}})$ . Conversely, if this implication holds for any  $M \in K_0(\text{Mot}_{\text{num}})$ , then the Tate conjecture holds.*

**Proof.** Write  $M = \sum m_i[S_i]$ , where  $m_i \in \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  and the  $S_i$  are simple pairwise non-isomorphic motives. For each  $i$ , let  $w_i$  be a Weil number of  $S_i$ , that is, a root of the minimum polynomial of  $F_{S_i}$ , and  $K_i = \mathbf{Q}(w_i)$ . Then  $\#_n(M) = \sum m_i \text{Tr}_{K_i/\mathbf{Q}}(w_i^n)$ . It follows from the assumption and from [11, Lemma 2.8] that  $w_i$  is an algebraic integer for all  $i$ . (To apply *loc. cit.*, compute in a Galois extension of  $\mathbf{Q}$  containing all  $K_i$  and observe that the Tate conjecture implies that no  $w_i$  is equal to a conjugate of  $w_j$  for  $i \neq j$  [14, proof of Prop. 2.6].)

By Honda's theorem, for each  $i$  there is an abelian variety  $A_i$  over  $\mathbf{F}_q$  and a simple direct summand  $T_i$  of  $h(A_i)$  whose Weil numbers are the Galois orbit of  $w_i$  (*ibid.*). Reapplying Tate's conjecture, we get that  $S_i \simeq T_i$ , hence  $S_i$  is effective for all  $i$ .

To prove the converse, let  $M \in \text{Mot}_{\text{num}}$  be simple and such that  $F_M = 1$ . Then  $\#_n(M) = 1$  for all  $n$ . Therefore  $M$  is effective. Writing  $M$  as  $(X, p)$  for some smooth projective variety  $X$ , we have that  $M$  is a direct summand of  $h^0(X)$  for weight reasons. It follows easily that  $M \simeq \mathbf{1}$ . By [6, Th. 2.7], this implies the Tate conjecture.  $\square$

**Remark 4.** Unfortunately we have to apply the Tate conjecture to  $S_i \otimes T_i^*$  in the proof, hence cannot provide a hypothesis only involving  $M$ .

**Corollary 3.** *The ring homomorphism*

$$(\overline{\#}_n)_{n \geq 1} : K_0(\text{Mot}_{\text{num}}^{\circ}) \rightarrow \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{Z}/q^n$$

*is injective if and only if the Tate conjecture is true.*  $\square$

**Acknowledgements.** Part of this work was done during a stay at the Newton Institute of Mathematical Sciences in September-October 2002; I thank it for its hospitality. I am grateful to Dan Abramovich, Yves André, Antoine Chambert-Loir, Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène and Gilles Lachaud for helpful remarks. Especially Colliot-Thélène and Chambert-Loir pointed out the work of Lachaud and Perret [13], Chambert-Loir pointed out the work of Ekedahl [3] and Lachaud kindly communicated the letters of Serre [16]. André pointed out that Lemma 2 needed a proof, helped with it and let me discover a mistake in the

very first version of this paper. Finally, the idea of this article was of course sparked by Hélène Esnault's prior article [4].

## REFERENCES

- [1] Y. André, B. Kahn *Nilpotence, radicaux et structures monoïdales* (with an appendix of Peter O'Sullivan), to appear in Rend. Sem. Math. Univ. Padova.
- [2] S. Bloch, A. Kas, D. Lieberman *Zero cycles on surfaces with  $p_g = 0$* , Composition Math. **33** (1976), 135–145.
- [3] T. Ekedahl *Sur le groupe fondamental d'une variété unirationnelle*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **297** (1983), 627–629.
- [4] H. Esnault *Varieties over a finite field with trivial Chow group of zero-cycles have a rational point*, to appear in Invent. Math.
- [5] W. Fulton *Intersection theory*, Springer, 1984.
- [6] T. Geisser *Tate's conjecture, algebraic cycles and rational K-theory in characteristic  $p$* , K-theory **13** (1998), 109–122.
- [7] A.J. de Jong *Smoothness, semi-stability and alterations*, Publ. Math. IHÉS **83** (1996), 51–93.
- [8] U. Jannsen *Motives, numerical equivalence and semi-simplicity*, Invent. Math. **107** (1992), 447–452.
- [9] B. Kahn, R. Sujatha *Birational motives, I*, preprint, 2002.
- [10] J. Kollár *Rational curves on algebraic varieties*, Erg. der Math. u. ihrer Grenzgebiete **32** (1996), Springer, 1996.
- [11] S. Kleiman *Algebraic cycles and the Weil conjectures*, in Dix exposés sur la cohomologie des schémas, North-Holland, Amsterdam; Masson, Paris, 1968, 359–386.
- [12] S. Kleiman *Motives*, Algebraic geometry, Oslo 1970, Proc. Fifth Nordic Summer School in Math., Wolters-Noordhoff, Groningen, 1972, 53–82.
- [13] G. Lachaud, M. Perret, *Un invariant birationnel des variétés de dimension 3 sur un corps fini*, J. Algebraic Geom. **9** (2000), 451–458.
- [14] J.S. Milne *Motives over finite fields*, Motives (Seattle, 1991), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., **55**, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994, 401–459.
- [15] A. Scholl *Classical motives*, Motives (Seattle, 1991), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., **55**, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994, 163–187.
- [16] J. P. Serre *Letters to Marc Perret and Gilles Lachaud*, Oct. 26 and 31, 2000.
- [17] V. Voevodsky *Letter to A. Beilinson*, Dec. 6, 1992.
- [18] V. Voevodsky *Open problems in the motivic stable homotopy theory, I*, preprint, 2000.

INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE JUSSIEU, 175–179 RUE DU CHEVALERET,  
75013 PARIS, FRANCE

*E-mail address:* kahn@math.jussieu.fr